IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 342 OF 2003

Bharat Govind Bhambure ...Appellant

Versus

State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr. S.N. Chandrachud, Advocate for Appellant

Mr. B.H. Mehta, A.P.P. for State.

Original complainant as well as the appellant are present in person.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 26TH OCTOBER, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the appellant i.e. original accused and the learned A.P.P. for State. I have also heard the complainant Shri. Sukhdeo Raghu Mhaske and the appellant who are present in person.

2. The appellant i.e. original accused has been convicted under Section 325 and 342 of I.P.C. by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Pune in Sessions Case No. 340 of 2002. Being aggrieved by the said judgment and order this appeal has been preferred. The complainant who is present in person has stated

that the matter has been amicably settled between him and the appellant, hence, the complainant has prayed that permission may be granted to compound the offences against the appellant. Affidavit to that effect has been filed by the complainant which is taken on record and marked as "X" for identification. The learned A.P.P. has no objection to the permission being granted to compound the said offences.

3. In this view of the matter, permission is granted to compound the offence under Section 325 and 342 of I.P.C. and the accused/appellant is acquitted therein.

4. Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

*****